Alan Blizzard Award – Rating Form

3 (good)

5 (excellent)

Please rate the project in categories 3a through 3g using the following scale:

2 (mediocre)

Scale:

1 (poor)

4 (very good) **Project title:** Name of contact member and of institution: 1- Names, affiliations, photo Highlight appropriate selection: Yes / No 2- Abstract Yes / No 3a- Institutional Context: Clear description of the need for the project; the constraints or particular Rating: advantages of the context; use of resources; funding; time involved. /5 Comments: (*This table will expand as text is typed) 3b- Goals of the Project: A clear description of the intended outcomes; challenges met; obstacles Rating: overcome. /5 Comments: 3c- Description of the Team: A description of the nature of the team and what makes the Rating: collaboration valuable. /5 Comments: **3d- Project Description:** A clear description of what was done and what students were asked to do; Rating: the experiences of students; in the case of a course, the number, level and kind of students involved. /10 Comments: 3e- Impact on Student Learning: Evidence that project goals were met and that students learned Rating: what was intended; evidence of how collaboration contributed to student learning; and evidence of the lasting impact on students. This may take the form of: pre- and post-tests, students ratings, /10 letters from colleagues and students. Comments: 3f- Future Developments: A description of how the project could evolve; adoption by other groups; Rating: ways the innovation might be shared with other programs and/or faculties. /5 Comments: 3g- Scholarship and Bibliography: Since the award is designed to encourage the scholarship of Rating: teaching and learning, the submission must show how the project (design, implementation, practices, and assessment) was informed by the scholarship of others and must reference /5 appropriate work. Comments: **General Comments:** Total rating: /45